

**CITY OF ST. CLOUD  
CODE ENFORCEMENT MEETING  
November 20, 2019**

**MINUTES**

**I. CALL TO ORDER -** Special Magistrate Smith called the meeting to order at 3:00 P.M.

**II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Special Magistrate Smith asked which case was in attendance and he asked if there was any objection to move this case to the front.

At this time, Magistrate Smith introduced himself to the audience and all those intending to testify were sworn in.

**III. PUBLIC HEARINGS -**

**A. NEW CASES -**

**CASE #2019-2100- Doris Rizo, Owner**

**Location of Violation:** 1019 Georgia Ave., St. Cloud Florida

**Violation:** St. Cloud Land Development Code, Sections 3.3.1 (F) & 13.3.

**Description of Violation:** Failure to prohibit but rather allowed construction at this location prior to obtaining a permit.

Code Enforcement Officer Melissa Howes presented the case in narrative form and provided an evidence packet and a PowerPoint presentation. At the conclusion of her presentation, she noted that the Respondent was present. Officer Howes recommended that the property be found in violation of starting construction of closing in an existing a carport prior to obtaining the proper permit by the time specified. She further recommended that the respondent pay the administrative cost in the amount of \$203.70 to be paid within seven days of the written order of the Special Magistrate.

At this time there was testimony offered by Ms. Susana Haney Rizo who noted that nothing that Officer Howes said is different than what it is. She has obtained the permit required to enclose the carport.

Magistrate Smith found in favor of the city. He found that the Respondent did not obtain the permit in the time allowed. He ordered the respondent to pay the city for administrative cost in the amount of \$203.70 to be paid within seven days of the Magistrate's written order.

**CASE #2019-1948- Nathan Traynor and Samantha Traynor, Owner**

**Location of Violation:** 2906 Jebidiah Loop St. Cloud Florida

**Violation:** St. Cloud City Code, Section 24-52(A)

**Description of Violation:** Failure to prohibit but rather allow an abandoned vehicle at this location

Code Enforcement Officer Pam Neal presented the case in narrative form and provided an evidence packet and a PowerPoint presentation. At the conclusion of her presentation, she noted that the Respondent was not present. Officer Neal recommended that the property be found in violation of allowing an abandoned vehicle at this location. She further recommended that the respondent pay the administrative cost in the amount of \$227.15 to be paid within seven days of the written order of the Special Magistrate. Magistrate Smith found in favor of the city. He ordered the respondent to pay the city for administrative cost in the amount of \$227.15 to be paid within seven days of the Magistrate's written order.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS - None**

**V. NEW BUSINESS – None**

**VI. NEXT SCHEDULED MEETING - January 15, 2020**

**VII. ADJOURNMENT - Magistrate Smith adjourned the meeting at 3:28p.m**

Submitted by \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Claudia Klockars, Clerk to the Magistrate